

Responding to the email from Tabitha Townsend sent March 16th 2026 at 3:19pm PDT on the topic of case # 175486.


Question #1: OneWoodinville.org ran only two ads between January 1st 2025 and November 5th 2025. The advertisements promoted community engagement with a local issue, specifically this page:

<https://onewoodinville.org/2044-comp-plan>

The ads were not electioneering as no candidates or ballot measures were identified, promoted, or opposed. Here is the ad in question:

<https://www.facebook.com/ads/library/?id=1387328228935948>

Total spending was \$54.99, divided across two instances (\$14.99 and \$40.00). To avoid any confusion, the “vote” mentioned in the ad graphic relates to a city council resolution regarding the Woodinville Comprehensive Plan document (councilmembers were voting, not the public).




Question #2: The answer is no. The OneWoodinville.vote project raised/collected zero money. Also, no money was raised or collected by the news blog OneWoodinville.org.

Regarding the URL in the “References:” line on the latest email. That specific webpage is a small part of the larger OneWoodinville.org news blog site and social media presence. To be clear, that individual page of the OneWoodinville.org website received \$0 advertising and was not referenced in any paid advertisements.


To reiterate the points from the initial response and expanded here-in:

- The OneWoodinville.org primary purpose is a news blog. The OneWoodinville.vote was a charitable “get out the vote” effort patterned on a walk-a-thon.
- **No funds were ever solicited or collected** by either project.
- As shown above and previously, **no electioneering spending occurred** by either OneWoodinville.vote or OneWoodinville.org.

On a procedural note, I'm confused that this email thread resuming at all. The PDC website outlines the process for a case's initial review will be complete "within 90 days":



I understood from that summary that when there was no "initial hearing" by October 11th 2025, this case was effectively closed. That timeline is reiterated elsewhere on the PDC website which sets a deadline for formal investigations to start with a "must" clause:



While this request for information had the feel of a formal investigation, I knew that couldn't be true. I confirmed this via the PDC website when your email arrived. Here is the screenshot collected on March 16th 2026 at 5pm (249 days after complaint was filed):

Case Number	Opened	Complainant	Respondent	Subject	Areas of Law	Status
175486	07/10/2025	Heidi Schauble, Nicolas Duchastel de Montrouge	One Woodinville	One Woodinville: Alleged violations of RCW 42.17A.205, .235 & .240 for failure to timely register as a PAC and failure to timely disclose contributions and expenditures on reports (EY25 JUL25)	RCW 29B.25.020/42.17A.205, RCW 29B.25.090/42.17A.235, RCW 29B.25.100/42.17A.240	Assessment of Facts

Whatever triggered your email, I hope our exchange can address another issue.

Background: As I continue OneWoodinville's coverage of local civic activities, I have from time-to-time experienced individuals attempting to weaponize this PDC case's lingering "Assessments of Facts" status to defame OneWoodinville and myself.

Given all the above, I request you formally marking this case as **"Dismissed as unfounded or frivolous"**. Doing so will clear your plate and help OneWoodinville's mission.

Regards,

Alex Campbell